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## Background and motivation

## Hypergraphs

Hypergraph: a pair $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ where

- $V$ is a finite set of vertices
- $\mathcal{E}$ is a set of subsets of $V$, called hyperedges


## Example:

- $V=\{1,2,3,4\}$
- $\mathcal{E}=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{1,2,3\},\{2,3,4\}\}$
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## Hypergraphs

An independent set in a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ is a set $X \subseteq V$ containing no hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$.
A set $X \subseteq V$ is dependent if it is not independent.
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## Hypergraphs

An independent set in a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ is a set $X \subseteq V$ containing no hyperedge of $\mathcal{H}$.

A set $X \subseteq V$ is dependent if it is not independent.
Example:


## Threshold hypergraphs

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is threshold if $\exists w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \exists t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that
for all $X \subseteq V$ :
$X$ is a dependent set of $\mathcal{H} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w(X) \geq t$
$w(X):=\sum_{x \in X} w(x)$.

- Geometric interpretation: there is a hyperplane separating the characteristic vectors of independent sets from the characteristic vectors of dependent sets.


## Threshold hypergraphs - example

$\exists w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \exists t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for all $X \subseteq V: X$ is a dependent set of $\mathcal{H} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w(X) \geq t$.
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## Threshold hypergraphs

Some historical remarks:

- Threshold hypergraphs were defined in the uniform case by Golumbic in 1980 and studied further by Reiterman, Rödl, Šiňajová, Tůma in 1985.
- In their full generality, the concept of threshold hypergraphs is equivalent to that of threshold monotone Boolean functions studied, e.g., by Muroga in 1971.


## Threshold hypergraphs

- A polynomial time recognition algorithm for threshold monotone Boolean functions represented by their complete DNF was given by Peled and Simeone in 1985.
- The algorithm is based on linear programming and implies the existence of a polynomial time recognition algorithm for threshold hypergraphs.


## Sperner hypergraphs

A hypergraph is said to be Sperner (or: a clutter) if no hyperedge contains another one, that is,
if $e, f \in \mathcal{E}$ and $e \subseteq f$ implies $e=f$.
Example:

- $V=\{1,2,3,4\}$
- $\mathcal{E}=$

$$
\{1,2,3\}
$$
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not Sperner since $\{1,2\} \subset\{1,2,3\}$

## Dually Sperner hypergraphs

Sperner hypergraphs can be equivalently defined as the hypergraphs such that

$$
e \neq f \Rightarrow \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\} \geq 1
$$

This point of view motivated Chiarelli and Milanič to define in 2014 a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ to be dually Sperner if

$$
e \neq f \Rightarrow \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\} \leq 1
$$

## Dually Sperner hypergraphs

$e \neq f \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\} \leq 1$.
Example:
The hypergraph from the previous example is dually Sperner:

- $\mathcal{E}=$

$$
\{1,2,3\} \quad\{2,3,4\}
$$

$$
\{1,2\} \quad\{1,3\} \quad\{1,4\}
$$

The following hypergraph is not dually Sperner:

- $V=\{1,2,3,4\}$
- $\mathcal{E}=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\}\}$


## Dually Sperner hypergraphs

Theorem (Chiarelli-M. 2014)
Every dually Sperner hypergraph is threshold.

Chiarelli and Milanič applied dually Sperner hypergraphs to characterize two classes of graphs related to separation of total, resp. connected dominating sets.

## Threshold hypergraphs

A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is threshold if
$\exists w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \exists t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that for all $X \subseteq V$ : $X$ is an dependent set of $\mathcal{H} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad w(X) \geq t$.


## Threshold hypergraphs

It follows from the definition of threshold hypergraphs that only minimal hyperedges matter for the thresholdness property of a given hypergraph.

## Example:
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is threshold if and only if
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\{1,2\} \quad\{1,3\} \quad\{1,4\}
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is threshold.

## 1-Sperner hypergraphs

Since dually Sperner hypergraphs are threshold, we focus on the family of hypergraphs that are both Sperner and dually Sperner.

We call such hypergraphs 1-Sperner.
A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is 1 -Sperner if and only if

$$
e \neq f \Rightarrow \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\}=1
$$

## 1-Sperner hypergraphs

$$
e \neq f \Rightarrow \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\}=1
$$

## Example:

The hypergraph from the previous example is not 1-Sperner, since it is not Sperner:

- $\mathcal{E}=$

$$
\{1,2\} \quad\{1,3\} \quad\{1,4\}
$$

Deleting the hyperedge $\{1,2,3\}$ results in a 1-Sperner hypergraph:

- $V=\{1,2,3,4\}$
- $\mathcal{E}=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,3,4\}\}$

Our results

## Our results

1. A composition result for 1-Sperner hypergraphs.
2. Its consequences.
3. New characterizations of threshold graphs.

## An operation preserving 1-Spernerness

## Gluing of hypergraphs

$\mathcal{H}_{1}=\left(V_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}=\left(V_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ - vertex-disjoint hypergraphs
$z$ - a new vertex
The gluing of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ is the hypergraph

$$
\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}
$$

such that

$$
V(\mathcal{H})=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup\{z\}
$$

and

$$
E(\mathcal{H})=\left\{\{z\} \cup e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{V_{1} \cup e \mid e \in \mathcal{E}_{2}\right\} .
$$

## Incidence matrices

The operation of gluing can be visualized easily in terms of incidence matrices.

Every hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ with $V=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$
can be represented with its
incidence matrix $A^{\mathcal{H}} \in\{0,1\}^{m \times n}$ :
rows are indexed by hyperedges of $\mathcal{H}$, columns are indexed by vertices of $\mathcal{H}$,
and

$$
A_{i, j}^{\mathcal{H}}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } v_{j} \in e_{i} \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Gluing of hypergraphs

If $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}$ then

$$
A^{\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{1}^{m_{1}, 1} & A^{\mathcal{H}_{1}} & \mathbf{0}^{m_{1}, n_{2}} \\
\mathbf{0}^{m_{2}, 1} & \mathbf{1}^{m_{2}, n_{1}} & A^{\mathcal{H}_{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\mathcal{H}_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& A^{\mathcal{H}_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& A^{\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|ccc}
z & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gluing of hypergraphs

## Proposition

For every pair $\mathcal{H}_{1}=\left(V_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}=\left(V_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ of vertex-disjoint 1-Sperner hypergraphs, their gluing $\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}$ is a 1 -Sperner hypergraph, unless $\mathcal{E}_{1}=\left\{V_{1}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}=\{\emptyset\}$ (in which case $\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}$ is not Sperner).

$$
A^{\mathcal{H}_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\longrightarrow \quad A^{\mathcal{H}_{1} \odot \mathcal{H}_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|ccc}
z & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## A composition result for 1-Sperner hypergraphs

We show that every nontrivial 1-Sperner hypergraph can be generated this way.

We say that a gluing of two vertex-disjoint hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}_{1}=\left(V_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}=\left(V_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right)$ is safe unless $\mathcal{E}_{1}=\left\{V_{1}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2}=\{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem
A hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ is 1 -Sperner if and only if it either has no vertices (that is, $\mathcal{H} \in\{(\emptyset, \emptyset),(\emptyset,\{\emptyset\})\})$ or it is a safe gluing of two smaller 1-Sperner hypergraphs.

Consequences of the structural result

## Consequences

Using the composition result for 1-Sperner hypergraph, we obtain the following:

1. An alternative proof of the fact that every 1 -Sperner hypergraph is threshold.


- Unlike the previous proof establishing thresholdness of dually Sperner hypergraphs (due to Chiarelli-M.), this proof is constructive and builds a separating hyperplane of a given 1-Sperner hypergraph.


## Consequences

2. A proof of the fact that every 1 -Sperner hypergraph is equilizable.


- Equilizable hypergraphs form a generalization of equistable graphs (introduced in 1980 by Payan and studied afterwards in more than 10 papers).


## Consequences

3. An upper bound on the size of 1-Sperner hypergraphs:

## Proposition

For every 1 -Sperner hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{E} \neq\{\emptyset\}$, we have $|\mathcal{E}| \leq|V|$.

- Proof idea: the characteristic vectors of the hyperedges are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^{V}$.

Can we prove a similar lower bound?

## Consequences

- universal vertex: a vertex contained in all hyperedges
- isolated vertex: a vertex contained in no hyperedges
- two vertices $u, v$ are twins if they are contained in exactly the same hyperedges

Adding universal vertices, isolated vertices, or twin vertices preserves the 1-Sperner property, while

- keeping the number of hyperedges unchanged and
- increasing the number of vertices.

Consequently, there is no lower bound on the number of hyperedges of a 1-Sperner hypergraph in terms of the number of vertices.

However ...

## Consequences

4. A lower bound on the size of 1-Sperner hypergraphs without universal, isolated, and twin vertices:

## Proposition

For every 1 -Sperner hypergraph $\mathcal{H}=(V, \mathcal{E})$ with $|V| \geq 2$ and without universal, isolated, and twin vertices, we have

$$
|\mathcal{E}| \geq\left\lceil\frac{|V|+2}{2}\right\rceil
$$

This bound is sharp.

New characterizations of threshold graphs

## Threshold graphs

A threshold graph is a threshold hypergraph in which all hyperedges are of size 2 .

- Threshold graphs were introduced by Chvátal and Hammer in the 1970s and were studied in numerous papers (and in a monograph by Mahadev and Peled from 1995).
- Threshold graphs have many different characterizations.


## Threshold graphs

Theorem (Chvátal and Hammer, 1977)
A graph $G$ is threshold if and only if it is $\left\{P_{4}, C_{4}, 2 K_{2}\right\}$-free.

Theorem (Chvátal and Hammer, 1977)
A graph $G$ is threshold if and only if $V(G)=K \cup I$
where $K$ is a clique, $I$ is an independent set, $K \cap I=\emptyset$, and
there exists an ordering $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ of I such that $N\left(v_{i}\right) \subseteq N\left(v_{j}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq k$.

## Clique hypergraphs of graphs

Given a graph $G$, the clique hypergraph of $G$ is the hypergraph $\mathcal{C}(G)$ with vertex set $V(G)$ in which the hyperedges are exactly the maximal cliques of $G$.

Theorem (Berge, 1989)
The clique hypergraphs of graphs are exactly those Sperner hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}$ that are also normal (or: conformal), that is, for every set $X \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ such that every pair of elements in $X$ is contained in a hyperedge, there exists a hyperedge containing $X$.

## A necessary condition for thresholdness

A hypergraph is $k$-summable if it has
$k$ (not necessarily distinct) independent sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ and $k$ (not necessarily distinct) dependent sets $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi^{A_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi^{B_{i}}
$$

If a graph is $k$-summable for some $k \geq 2$, then it cannot be threshold.

A hypergraph is $k$-asummable if it is not $k$-summable.

## A necessary condition for thresholdness

Theorem
A hypergraph is threshold if and only if it is $k$-asummable for all $k$.

- A restatement of the analogous characterization of threshold Boolean functions proved in 1961 independently by Chow and Elgot.

Corollary
Every threshold hypergraph is 2-asummable.

## 1-Sperner, threshold, 2-asummable

In general:

$$
\text { 1-Sperner } \Rightarrow \text { threshold } \Rightarrow \text { 2-asummable }
$$

and none of the implications can be reversed.
In the class of conformal Sperner hypergraphs, all these three notions coincide.

Moreover, they exactly characterize threshold graphs.

## New characterizations of threshold graphs

Theorem
For every graph G, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $G$ is threshold.
(2) The clique hypergraph $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is 1-Sperner.
(3) The clique hypergraph $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is threshold.
(4) The clique hypergraph $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is 2 -asummable.
clique hypergraph $\mathcal{C}(G)$
$\rightsquigarrow$ independent set hypergraph $\mathcal{I}(G)$
in (2), (3), (4) also ok
(since the class of threshold graphs is closed under taking complements)

## Summary

- We introduced a new class of hypergraphs, the class of 1-Sperner hypergraphs:
$e \neq f \quad \Rightarrow \quad \min \{|e \backslash f|,|f \backslash e|\}=1$.
- We proved a structural theorem for 1-Sperner hypergraphs and examined several of its consequences, including bounds on the size of 1-Sperner hypergraphs and a new, constructive proof of the fact that every 1-Sperner hypergraph is threshold.
- Within the class of normal Sperner hypergraphs:

1-Sperner $\Leftrightarrow$ threshold $\Leftrightarrow$ 2-asummable

- New characterizations of the class of threshold graphs.
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